

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Official Action dated 01 February 2005 has been carefully considered, along with cited references, applicable sections of the Patent Act, Patent Rules, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and relevant decisional law.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification does not disclose how the pressure regulator (60) coupled to the engine so that the over pressurized gas in the engine will be released to the engine pressure regulator (60). Furthermore, the specification does not disclose how the pressure regulator (60) coupled to the engine so that the gas in the engine pressure regulator (60) will be released to the engine.

In response, the disclosure and the drawing figures have been carefully amended to clarify the disclosure. In the originally filed drawing figures, the pressure regulator (60) has been amended to be coupled to the outlet (13) of the engine (10), for receiving the pressurized gas or air from the engine (10), which has been disclosed in page 6 line 27 to page 7 line 6 of the specification. The separator housing (30) has also been amended to be coupled to the outlet (13) of the engine (10), which is supported in figure 1 of the originally filed drawing figures. No new matter has been introduced into the disclosure.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not good enough for any person skilled in the art to understand how the over pressurized gas in the engine will be released to the engine pressure regulator (60) and/or how the gas in the engine pressure regulator (60) will be released to

IN THE DRAWING FIGURES

Please amend the drawing figures 1 and 2 with the enclosed amended drawings marked in red.

One copy of the amended drawing figures 1 and 2 is enclosed herewith for the Examiner's approval.

In figure 2, the separator housing (30) has been amended to be coupled to the outlet (13) of the engine (10), which is supported in figure 1.

The pressure regulator (60) has also been amended to be coupled to the outlet (13) of the engine (10), for receiving the pressurized gas or air from the engine (10), which has been disclosed in page 6 line 27 to page 7 line 6 of the specification.

the engine. The specification does not disclose how the pressure regulator (60) coupled to the engine so that the over pressurized gas in the engine will be released to the engine pressure regulator (60). Furthermore, the specification does not disclose how the pressure regulator (60) coupled to the engine so that the gas in the engine pressure regulator (60) will be released to the engine. The written description regarding how the pressure regulator (60) coupled to the engine in the specification is inadequate.

In response, the disclosure has been carefully amended to overcome the rejection claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. The flowing of the air or gas between the channel 74 of the block 71 and the narrower slit 73 of the block 71 has been briefly mentioned and disclosed in page 6 line 27 to page 7 line 12 of the specification.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Peters (US 5,483,943).

The Peters reference discloses an engine pressure regulator (28) comprising: a body chamber, a connecting tube, through one side of said chamber; a passage mechanism, mounted inside said chamber having a passage connected to the inner space of said chamber; a needle tube mechanism, connected to one end of said connecting tube, and connected to said passage when compressed, a control valve (30) connected to said needle tube mechanism for controlling the flow of said needle tube mechanism.

However, the Examiner has kindly indicated that claims 2-6 would be allowable if written to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office Action, and to

include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, claim 2 which is indicated to be allowable has been deleted, and has been included into claim 1.

Claims 3 and 5 which are indicated to be allowable have been written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Claim 4 is dependent on the amended claim 3.

Claim 6 is dependent on the amended claim 5.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 3-6 would appear to be allowable, and early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is accordingly most respectfully solicited.

Courtesy and cooperation of Examiner ALI are appreciated.

respectfully submitted,

By: Charles E. Baxley

CHARLES E. BAXLEY

Attorney of Record

USPTO Reg. 20,149

90 John Street – 3rd Floor

New York, N.Y. 10038

TEL: (212) 791-7200

FAX: (212) 791-7276

Date: New York, N.Y.